



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/326,258	06/04/1999	Damion L. Hankejh	SESSIO.P01	3976

7590 12/04/2003

Patrick M Dwyer PC  
1818 Westlake Avenue N  
Suite 114  
Seattle, WA 98109

EXAMINER

VU, VIET DU Y

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2154

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

32

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/326,258

Applicant(s)

Hankejh et al

Examiner

Viet Vu

Art Unit

2154



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Viet Vu

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Patrick Dwyer (32,411)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Nov 21, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1-7

Identification of prior art discussed:

Sanderman and Anupam

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed whether the declaration filed by Thomas Morelli on 8/1/03 sufficiently showed that a browser leading function embodiment to enable collaborative browsing among several users was in fact conceived by applicant as early as March 1996. Applicant also considered to file a supplemental declaration to further support this claim.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required